FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Equine Veterinary Science

journal homepage: www.j-evs.com



## Original Research

# Effects of Dietary Mineral Intake on Hair and Serum Mineral Contents of Horses



Ahmad Ghorbani, Ardeshir Mohit, Hassan Darmani Kuhi\*

Department of Animal Science, College of Agricultural Science, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 December 2014 Received in revised form 25 January 2015 Accepted 29 January 2015 Available online 7 February 2015

Keywords:
Hair analysis
Serum mineral
Element
Dietary supplement
Horse

#### ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of dietary mineral intake on serum and hair mineral contents of healthy horses. Twelve registered horses were used in a balanced change over design with three periods (each period, 56 days; the interval between periods, 7 days), four treatments, nine replicates per treatment, and four blocks (two genders and two age groups, <3 and  $\geq3$  years). Two different levels (0% and 2.2% of the diet) of minerals were added to one of two different levels of daily dry matter intakes (50% and 100% of requirements) to make the dietary treatments. Mane hair and serum samples were collected at the end of each period and measured by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry method. The mineral contents of serum, except copper and strontium, were not affected by dietary treatments (P > .05). The effect of dietary treatments on hair calcium, cobalt, copper, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, phosphorus, sulfur, selenium, strontium, and zinc concentrations was significant ( $P \le .05$ ), with higher values for mineral-supplemented diets provided at 100% of their requirements. Except for phosphorus, the effect of age on hair calcium, cobalt, copper, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, nickel, lead, sulfur, selenium, strontium, and zinc concentrations and calcium-to-potassium, zinc-to-copper, calcium-to-lead, and sulfur-tolead ratios were not significant (P > .05). For gender, the ratios of calcium to lead, iron to lead, and sulfur to copper in hair were higher in males than females ( $P \le .05$ ). The hair showed to be a better biological indicator for mineral status in horse than the serum.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

With increasing demand in medical diagnostics and biomonitoring, interest in biological biopsies for toxicology, epidemiology, and assessing individuals' homeostatic state or morbidity is immense [1]. The diets traditionally used for horse nutrition often are imbalanced in mineral, especially regarding macroelement concentrations [2]. Hair analysis has been used to assess the mineral elements status for several decades [3]. The potential use of hair analysis was established in the early 1960s, but the most significant

E-mail address: darmani\_22000@yahoo.com (H. Darmani Kuhi).

developments have occurred by using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry in the last 20 years [4,5]. Chemical elements accumulate and are eliminated by different routes, including hair [6]. In blood, the minerals undergo homeostatic controls [7]; therefore, the value of routine analyses of whole blood, serum, and urine for bioelements is limited [8], whereas the hair which is built of keratin, a highly resistant protein which prevents loss of internal components of hair and external deposition [7], is a suitable biological candidate for investigating the mineral status in human and animals. On the other hand, hair can be collected easily and requires no special storage considerations such as blood serum [9]. Hair is recommended as a noninvasive biomarker by various institutions-including US Environmental Protection

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Hassan Darmani Kuhi, Department of Animal Science, College of Agricultural Science, University of Guilan, P.O. Box: 58643-41889, Rasht, Iran.

Agency (EPA), World Health Organization, and Global Environmental Monitoring Systems of United Nations Environment Programme—to assess the chronic exposure of human to toxic metals [10]. In addition, EPA and the International Atomic Energy Agency accept using hair mineral analysis for measuring the level of toxic and essential metal in human organs [7,11].

There are relationship between essential elements and diseases, metabolic disorders, environmental exposures, and nutritional status [12]. Recent equine studies have demonstrated in contrast to urine and blood analyses, hair analysis can detect and quantify drugs weeks, months, or even years after administration or intake [13]. There are several diseases of horses that may have a direct or indirect causal relationship with diet [14]. Nutritional secondary hyperparathyroidism (NSH) disease tends to occur when horses are fed diets with low in calcium and high in phosphorus. Serum concentrations of both calcium and phosphorus are often normal in diagnostic test for NSH disease [15].

The Ca<sup>2+</sup> inflow into cells is considered to trigger various diseases, and the hair analysis provides a new diagnosis on cell ion channel gating [16]. The hair mineral analysis provides a new diagnostic tool based on cell ion channels [16]. This would make hair analysis more reliable [17].

Furthermore, it has been established that the level of some elements in biological specimens are related to systemic levels of horses [4]. Exposure of horses to toxic levels of selenium via forage ingestion has been investigated by hair analysis [13,18]. Another potential application of hair analysis is in instances of plant-induced toxicities in horses [18]. Literature has repeatedly shown a relationship between hair mineral analysis and human health [19]. An inverse relationship has repeatedly been shown for calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc, and copper in hair with obesity, diabetes, and the metabolic syndrome, whereas hair sodium has been shown to be increased under these conditions [19].

Miniature horses and ponies have historically been used in equine research studies because of the advantages of their small size [20]. They are easy to handle and less expensive to maintain. Miniature horses are suitable models for traits such as mineral balance and forage utilization [20].

The present study was amid to examine the potential applicability of serum or hair samples as a biological indicator to evaluate the horses responses to (un)sufficient-mineral diets offered daily at 50% and 100% of the requirements recommended by National Research Council [21].

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Animals and Feeding

Twelve registered Caspian miniature horses with an initial live weight of 163.5 kg were used in this study. Six males (three 1–3 years and three  $\geq$ 3 years) and six females (three 1–3 years and three  $\geq$ 3 years) were housed in the premises of the Animal Science Department, Agricultural

and Natural Resources Research Center of Guilan, Rasht, Iran. The horses were fed individually from diets formulated on National Research Council [21] recommendation. The experiment was conducted during the months of January-June 2014. After a 60-day adaptation period, the animals were moved to a 3  $\times$  3-m lot and were offered 2% of body weight alfalfa hay daily. During the last 7 days of the adaptation period, the dietary treatments gradually were substituted. During the experiment, horses were housed in covered stalls and were given one half of their daily diet of alfalfa hay and concentrate on 8 AM and 10 AM; and the rest at 4 PM and 6 PM. Housing and management conditions were the same for all animals, and water was available ad libitum. The dietary treatments included were  $Diet_{0-100}$ , dietary mineral supplementation at 0% and the dry matter intake at 100% of requirement; Diet<sub>2.2-100</sub>, dietary mineral supplementation at 2.2% and the dry matter intake at 100% of requirement; Diet<sub>0-50</sub>, dietary mineral supplementation at 0% and the dry matter intake at 50% of requirement; and Diet<sub>2,2-50</sub>, dietary mineral supplementation at 2.2% and the dry matter intake at 50% of requirement (Tables 1 and 2).

#### 2.2. Hair Mineral Analysis

The method used for hair preparation closely follows that described previously [9,11,13,22,23]. All the chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Deionized ultrapure water obtained from a Milli-Q purification device (Millipore Co, Bedford, MA). The standard laboratory ware and glassware were acid washed and rinsed with ultrapure water. Triton X-100 was from Sigma Chemical Co (St-Louis, MO). Multielemental standard solutions were used for ICP-OES, including 10 ppm, 26XSM80B.5L and 10 ppm, 26XSM90C.5L, were obtained from MBH (London, England). The mixed standard solution was obtained by further diluted to desired concentration daily before use [24].

#### 2.3. Serum Mineral Analysis

The serum mineral concentration was measured in the fasting state at the end of each period. A total of 9 mL of the blood samples was collected from the jugular veins in plain vacutainer tubes. After collecting, the blood samples are allowed to clot by leaving them undisturbed at room temperature for at least 1 hour [25]. The serums were removed by centrifuging the clots at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes. The obtained sera were stored in 1.5-mL microtubes at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until analyzing for mineral concentration [26,27]. Preparation of serum sample for mineral analysis was done by using American Society for Testing and Materials, D4638–03 standard [22].

#### 2.4. Apparatus

In this study, simultaneous ICP-OES, Thermo Scientific iCAP Series 6500, equipped with a charge injection device detector CETAC and Asx-520 Autosampler (England) has been used for determination of elements. Control of the spectrometer is provided by personal computer-based

 Table 1

 Ingredients composition and chemical analysis of the dietary treatments.

| Ingredients and Chemical Analysis | Diet <sub>0-100</sub> <sup>a</sup> | Diet <sub>4.86–100</sub> <sup>a</sup> | Diet <sub>0-50</sub> <sup>a</sup> | Diet <sub>4.86-50</sub> <sup>a</sup> |  |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Ingredients (% of DM basis)       |                                    |                                       |                                   |                                      |  |
| Alfalfa hay                       | 51.46                              | 49.33                                 | 51.46                             | 49.33                                |  |
| Barley grain                      | 46.69                              | 44.75                                 | 46.69                             | 44.75                                |  |
| Wheat bran                        | 0.75                               | _                                     | 0.75                              | _                                    |  |
| Dicalcium phosphate               | _                                  | 1.36                                  | _                                 | 1.36                                 |  |
| NaCl                              | _                                  | 1.30                                  | _                                 | 1.30                                 |  |
| Mineral supplement <sup>b</sup>   | _                                  | 2.20                                  | _                                 | 2.20                                 |  |
| Vitamin supplement <sup>c</sup>   | 1.10                               | 1.06                                  | 1.10                              | 1.06                                 |  |
| Chemical analysis (% of DM basis) |                                    |                                       |                                   |                                      |  |
| Dry matter, %                     | 92.79                              | 92.92                                 | 92.79                             | 92.92                                |  |
| DE, Mcal/kg                       | 3.054                              | 2.930                                 | 3.054                             | 2.930                                |  |
| CP, %                             | 13.041                             | 12.511                                | 13.041                            | 12.511                               |  |
| Lysine, %                         | 0.649                              | 0.622                                 | 0.649                             | 0.622                                |  |
| Ca, %                             | 0.895                              | 1.300                                 | 0.895                             | 1.300                                |  |
| P, %                              | 0.275                              | 0.522                                 | 0.275                             | 0.522                                |  |
| Mg, %                             | 0.251                              | 0.504                                 | 0.251                             | 0.504                                |  |
| Na, %                             | 0.062                              | 0.668                                 | 0.062                             | 0.668                                |  |
| Cl, %                             | 0.396                              | 1.166                                 | 0.396                             | 1.166                                |  |
| K, %                              | 1.643                              | 1.587                                 | 1.643                             | 1.587                                |  |
| S, g/kg                           | 234.173                            | 224.525                               | 234.173                           | 224,525                              |  |
| Co, mg/kg                         | 0.239                              | 0.230                                 | 0.239                             | 0.230                                |  |
| Cu, mg/kg                         | 13.127                             | 45.525                                | 13.127                            | 45.525                               |  |
| I, mg/kg                          | 0.112                              | 0.678                                 | 0.112                             | 0.678                                |  |
| Fe, mg/kg                         | 301.486                            | 289.145                               | 301.486                           | 289.145                              |  |
| Mn, mg/kg                         | 34.578                             | 74.934                                | 34.578                            | 74.934                               |  |
| Zn, mg/kg                         | 28.848                             | 185.792                               | 28.848                            | 185.792                              |  |
| Se, mg/kg                         | 0.387                              | 0.371                                 | 0.387                             | 0.371                                |  |
| Vitamin A, IU/kg                  | 2,995.897                          | 2,872.982                             | 2,995.897                         | 2,872.982                            |  |
| Vitamin D, IU/kg                  | 661.017                            | 633.742                               | 661.017                           | 633.742                              |  |
| Vitamin E, IU/kg                  | 99.587                             | 95.492                                | 99.587                            | 95.492                               |  |
| Thiamine, mg/kg                   | 6.780                              | 6.506                                 | 6.780                             | 6.506                                |  |
| Riboflavin, mg/kg                 | 3.928                              | 3.769                                 | 3.928                             | 3.769                                |  |

Abbreviations: Ca, calcium; Cl, chlorine; Co, cobalt; CP, crude protein; Cu, copper; DE, digestible energy; DM, dry matter; Fe, iron; I, iodine; K, potassium; Mg, magnesium; Mn, manganese; Na, sodium; NaCl, sodium chloride; P, phosphorus; S, sulfur; Se, selenium; Zn, zinc.

iTEVA software. The wavelengths (nm) used to measure the concentrations of the elements were closely follows that described previously [24].

# 2.5. Statistical Analysis

This research was conducted using a balanced change over design in three periods (each period extended for 56 days with a 7-day interval between periods), four dietary treatments, nine replicates per treatment, and four blocks (two genders and two age groups, <3 and  $\ge$ 3 years). Two different levels (0% and 2.2% of diet) of minerals were added to one of two different levels of daily dry matter intakes (50% and 100% of requirements) to reached to the dietary treatments [28]. Mane hair and serum samples were obtained at the end of each period. Statistical analyses were conducted using the general linear model procedures of SAS 9.2, version for Windows [29]. The Tukey honestly significant difference test was carried out to compare means. P values lower than .05 were considered as significant.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Hair Mineral Analysis

Mane hair mineral contents (mg/kg, dry weight) are presented in Table 3. Apart from aluminum, nickel, and lead, the mean values of other hair minerals (calcium, cobalt, copper, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, sodium, phosphorus, sulfur, selenium, strontium, and zinc) and the ratios of calcium to phosphorus, calcium to potassium, zinc to copper, calcium to lead, sulfur to lead, and sulfur to copper were affected by dietary treatments ( $P \le .05$ ).

Except for phosphorus, the effect of age on hair calcium, cobalt, copper, iron, potassium, magnesium, manganese, nickel, lead, sulfur, selenium, strontium, and zinc concentrations and calcium-to-potassium, zinc-to-copper, calcium-to-lead, sulfur-to-lead ratios were not significant (P>.05). For gender, the ratios of calcium to lead, iron to lead, and sulfur to copper in hair were higher in males than females  $(P \le .05)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Numbers in subscripts for dietary treatments are the supplementation levels of dietary mineral (%) and the level of daily dry matter intakes (% of requirement), respectively. For example, (0–100) indicates the dietary mineral supplementation at 0% and the dry matter intake at 100% of requirements, and so forth.

nd so forth.

Mineral supplement composition (DM basis): Ca, 6.5%; Mg, 12%; Na, 4.5%; K, 0.5%; Cu, 1,500 mg/kg; I, 26 mg/kg; Mn, 1,900 mg/kg; and Zn, 7,200 mg/kg.

c Vitamin supplement composition (DM basis): vitamin A, 270,000 IU/kg; vitamin D, 60,000 IU/kg; vitamin E, 9,000 IU/kg; thiamin, 600 mg/kg; and riboflavin, 350 mg/kg.

**Table 2**Daily intakes of nutrients by the Caspian miniature horses from different dietary treatments (DM basis).

| Intake (per<br>100 kg of BW/d) | Diet <sub>0-100</sub> <sup>a</sup> | Diet <sub>2.2-100</sub> <sup>a</sup> | Diet <sub>0-50</sub> <sup>a</sup> | Diet <sub>2.2-50</sub> <sup>a</sup> |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CP, g                          | 135.51                             | 135.60                               | 67.75                             | 67.80                               |
| DE, Mcal                       | 3.17                               | 3.18                                 | 1.59                              | 1.59                                |
| Lysine, g                      | 6.74                               | 6.74                                 | 3.37                              | 3.37                                |
| Ca, g                          | 9.30                               | 14.09                                | 4.65                              | 7.05                                |
| P, g                           | 2.85                               | 5.66                                 | 1.43                              | 2.83                                |
| Mg, g                          | 2.61                               | 5.47                                 | 1.30                              | 2.73                                |
| Na, g                          | 0.64                               | 7.24                                 | 0.32                              | 3.62                                |
| Cl, g                          | 4.12                               | 12.63                                | 2.06                              | 6.32                                |
| K, g                           | 17.08                              | 17.20                                | 8.54                              | 8.60                                |
| S, g                           | 243.33                             | 243.34                               | 121.66                            | 121.67                              |
| Co, mg                         | 0.25                               | 0.25                                 | 0.12                              | 0.12                                |
| Cu, mg                         | 13.64                              | 49.34                                | 6.82                              | 24.67                               |
| I, mg                          | 0.12                               | 0.74                                 | 0.06                              | 0.37                                |
| Fe, mg                         | 313.27                             | 313.38                               | 156.64                            | 156.69                              |
| Mn, mg                         | 35.93                              | 81.21                                | 17.96                             | 40.61                               |
| Zn, mg                         | 29.98                              | 201.36                               | 14.99                             | 100.68                              |
| Se, mg                         | 0.40                               | 0.40                                 | 0.20                              | 0.20                                |
| Vitamin A, IU                  | 3,113.03                           | 3,113.79                             | 1,556.51                          | 1,556.89                            |
| Vitamin D, IU                  | 686.86                             | 686.86                               | 343.43                            | 343.43                              |
| Vitamin E, IU                  | 103.48                             | 103.50                               | 51.74                             | 51.75                               |
| Thiamine, mg                   | 7.05                               | 7.05                                 | 3.52                              | 3.53                                |
| Riboflavin, mg                 | 4.08                               | 4.08                                 | 2.04                              | 2.04                                |

Abbreviations: BW, body weight; Ca, calcium; Cl, chlorine; Co, cobalt; CP, crude protein; Cu, copper; DE, digestible energy; DM, dry matter; Fe, iron; I, iodine; K, potassium; Mg, magnesium; Mn, manganese; Na, sodium; NaCl, sodium chloride; P, phosphorus; S, sulfur; Se, selenium; Zn, zinc.

<sup>a</sup> Numbers in subscripts for dietary treatments are the supplementation levels of dietary mineral (%) and the level of daily dry matter intakes (% of requirement), respectively. For example, (0–100) indicates the dietary mineral supplementation at 0% and the dry matter intake at 100% of requirements, and so forth.

### 3.2. Serum Mineral Analysis

Apart from copper and strontium, mean values of other minerals in serum were not affected by dietary treatments (P > .05). Considering the age and sex effects on serum mineral concentrations, these effects were significant only for copper and phosphorus in the case of age and for copper in the case of sex ( $P \le .05$ ; Table 4).

#### 4. Discussion

Previous studies showed that the profile of hair mineral imbalance might be useful as a diagnostic tool for the early diagnosis of many diseases [30]. Hair is a metabolically inactive tissue, and its composition reflects levels of trace elements that accumulate in its structure [31] through nutritive blood flow [1].

Because of the potential (sub)clinical implications of knowledge on mineral contents of hair and serum, the present study was aimed to determine the potential applicability of these two biological indicators to determine the mineral status in healthy horses fed diets differing in mineral contents.

Despite the significant effects of dietary treatments on both serum and hair mineral concentrations in this study (Tables 3 and 4), mane hair showed to be a better biological indicator for nutritional imbalances than the serum. Hair composition reflects levels of trace elements that accumulate in the body [31]. Because the minerals undergo

The means values of hair mineral analysis in different dietary treatments, age groups, and gender (mg/kg).

| THE HEARTS VALUES OF HAIT HIMETAL AHARYSIS III UIHEFEHL UICLALY | cs of fiall IIII   | ilciai ailaiysis   | III diliciciii | ulctary u.e. | atiliciits, age    | groups, and      | griidei (iiig    | /NS).               |                    |       |                       |       |                     |                 |                    |                      |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Effects of  | Al                 | Ca                 | Co             | Cu           | Fe                 | K                | Mg               | Mn                  | Na                 | Ņ     | Ь                     | Pb    | S                   | Se              | Sr                 | Zn                   |
| Dietary treatm  | nents <sup>d</sup> |                    |                |              |                    |                  |                  |                     |                    |       |                       |       |                     |                 |                    |                      |
| Diet <sub>0-100</sub>   | 21.9               | $1,725^{b}$        | $0.13^{ab}$    | $4.85^{ab}$  | 87.28 <sup>a</sup> | 328 <sup>b</sup> | 297 <sup>b</sup> | $1.2^{\mathrm{bc}}$ | $241.7^{b}$        | 0.36  | $254.7^{\mathrm{bc}}$ |       | 35,165 <sup>b</sup> | $1.084^{\rm b}$ | $5.868^{b}$        | 101.731 <sup>b</sup> |
| Diet <sub>2,2-100</sub>   | 16.83              | 2,405 <sup>a</sup> | $0.17^{a}$     |              | 53.83 <sup>b</sup> | 508 <sup>a</sup> | 420ª             | 4.9ª                | 475.1 <sup>a</sup> | 0.56  | $530.0^{a}$           |       | 48,068 <sup>a</sup> | $1.674^{a}$     | 7.163 <sup>a</sup> | $129.356^{a}$        |
| Diet <sub>0-50</sub>  | 17.44              | $1,422^{b}$        | $0.08^{c}$     |              | $67.43^{ab}$       | $199^{b}$        | 248 <sup>b</sup> | $1.01^{c}$          | $203.3^{\rm b}$    | 0.39  | $171.8^{c}$           | 2.193 | 30,729 <sup>b</sup> | $0.547^{c}$     | $4.462^{c}$        | $92.740^{\rm b}$     |
| Diet <sub>2,2-50</sub>  | 16.47              | 1,858 <sup>b</sup> | $0.09^{bc}$    |              | $68.42^{ab}$       | $30e_{\rm p}$    | 276 <sup>b</sup> | $2.39^{b}$          | 257.4 <sup>b</sup> | 0.52  | $262.0^{b}$           |       | 35,709 <sup>b</sup> | $0.983^{b}$     | $4.424^{c}$        | $91.720^{b}$         |
| SEM 1.8   | 1.83               | 106.97             | 0.01           |              | 5.97               | 32.18            | 19.00            | 0.28                | 27.7               | 0.073 | 19.6                  |       | 1,391.78            | 0.079           | 0.202              | 4.182                |
| Age groups  |                    |                    |                |              |                    |                  |                  |                     |                    |       |                       |       |                     |                 |                    |                      |
| 1-3 y   | 16.520             | 1,863              | 0.116          | 4.86         | 74.48              | 333              | 296              | 2.42                | 300.21             | 0.462 | 282.8 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.38  | 36,453              | 1.113           | 5.328              | 101.92               |
| >3 y  | 19.799             | 1,842              | 0.118          | 4.86         | 64.00              | 334              | 324              | 2.71                | 288.55             | 0.453 | 326.5 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.11  | 38,382              | 1.031           | 5.631              | 105.85               |
| SEM   | 1.298              | 75.64              | 0.007          | 0.14         | 4.22               | 22.75            | 13.44            | 0.199               | 19.6               | 0.05  | 13.9                  | 0.175 | 984.14              | 0.056           | 0.143              | 2.957                |
| Gender  |                    |                    |                |              |                    |                  |                  |                     |                    |       |                       |       |                     |                 |                    |                      |
| Male  | 17.889             | $1,686^{a}$        | 0.109          | 4.8          | 65.72              | 305              | 301              | 2.26                | 283.4              | 0.453 | 296.2                 | 2.317 | 35,275 <sup>a</sup> | $0.884^{a}$     | $4.876^{a}$        | $99.05^{a}$          |
| Female  | 18.431             | 2,019 <sup>b</sup> | 0.124          | 4.92         | 72.76              | 362              | 319              | 2.88                | 305.4              | 0.462 | 313.2                 | 2.18  | 39,560 <sup>b</sup> | $1.260^{\rm b}$ | $6.082^{b}$        | $108.72^{b}$         |
| SEM   | 1.298              | 75.64              | 0.007          | 0.14         | 4.22               | 22.75            | 13.44            | 0.199               | 19.6               | 0.05  | 13.87                 | 0.175 | 984.14              | 0.056           | 0.143              | 2.957                |

Abbreviations: Al, aluminum; Ca, calcium; Co, cobalt; Cu, copper; Fe, iron; K, potassium; Mg, magnesium; Mn, manganese; Na, sodium; Ni, nickel; P, phosphorus; Pb, lead; S, sulfur; Se, selenium; SEM, standard error of the means; Sr, strontium; Zn, zinc

d Numbers in subscripts for dietary treatments are the supplementation levels of dietary mineral (%) and the level of daily dry matter intakes (% of requirement), respectively. For example, (0–100) indicates the  $^{
m abc}$ Means within a subcolumn with different superscript letters are significantly different (  $P \leq .05$  )

lietary mineral supplementation at 0% and the dry matter intake at 100% of requirements, and so forth

**Table 4**The means values of serum mineral analysis in different dietary treatments, age groups, and gender (mg/kg).

| Effects of              | Al                | Ca     | Cu                | Fe    | K      | Mg    | Na     | P                   | S     | Sr                 | Zn    |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|---------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| Dietary treatm          | ents <sup>c</sup> |        |                   |       |        |       |        |                     |       |                    |       |
| Diet <sub>0-100</sub>   | 2.10              | 165.80 | 2.56 <sup>a</sup> | 12.04 | 255.08 | 28.48 | 4,680  | 164.41              | 3,478 | 1.137 <sup>a</sup> | 1.473 |
| Diet <sub>2.2-100</sub> | 0.48              | 158.11 | 2.25 <sup>a</sup> | 9.23  | 227.11 | 29.96 | 4,425  | 141.17              | 3,544 | $0.994^{ab}$       | 1.821 |
| Diet <sub>0-50</sub>    | 1.05              | 182.53 | 1.76 <sup>b</sup> | 7.25  | 264.44 | 34.44 | 4,941  | 168.41              | 3,964 | 1.221 <sup>a</sup> | 1.842 |
| Diet <sub>2.2-50</sub>  | 1.09              | 160.96 | 1.70 <sup>b</sup> | 9.09  | 224.47 | 28.1  | 4,221  | 149.42              | 3,355 | 0.814 <sup>b</sup> | 2.454 |
| SEM <sup>b</sup>        | 0.406             | 9.43   | 0.189             | 3.80  | 13.70  | 2.16  | 220.16 | 11.33               | 187.7 | 0.071              | 0.45  |
| Age groups              |                   |        |                   |       |        |       |        |                     |       |                    |       |
| 1-3 y                   | 1.55              | 169.65 | 2.23 <sup>a</sup> | 10.79 | 257.19 | 29.68 | 4,584  | 173.87 <sup>a</sup> | 3,497 | 1.093              | 1.665 |
| ≥3 y                    | 0.81              | 164.04 | 1.89 <sup>b</sup> | 8.012 | 228.36 | 30.81 | 4,550  | 137.83 <sup>b</sup> | 3,674 | 0.989              | 2.129 |
| SEM                     | 0.287             | 6.67   | 0.133             | 2.69  | 9.69   | 1.53  | 155.68 | 8.01                | 132.7 | 0.05               | 0.32  |
| Gender                  |                   |        |                   |       |        |       |        |                     |       |                    |       |
| Male                    | 1.168             | 170.86 | 1.84 <sup>a</sup> | 7.53  | 235.97 | 31.42 | 4,710  | 152.04              | 3,712 | 1.022              | 2.024 |
| Female                  | 1.199             | 162.83 | $2.29^{b}$        | 11.27 | 249.58 | 29.08 | 4,425  | 159.66              | 3,459 | 1.061              | 1.771 |
| SEM                     | 0.287             | 6.67   | 0.133             | 2.69  | 9.69   | 1.53  | 155.68 | 8.01                | 132.7 | 0.05               | 0.32  |

Abbreviations: Al, aluminum; Ca, calcium; Cu, copper; Fe, iron; K, potassium; Mg, magnesium; Mn, manganese; Na, sodium; P, phosphorus; S, sulfur; SEM, standard error of the means; Sr, strontium; Zn, zinc.

homeostatic controls in blood [7], the ranges of concentrations are narrow, but in hair, concentrations are broad and reflect long-term metabolic changes.

Hair analysis is beneficial and reflects nutritional imbalances in animals; however, this seems to be stumbling block for some scientists who are not wholly convinced of the validity of hair analysis [32]. The trace element levels in horse tissues are useful for assessment of its nutritional status [4] and environmental exposure to toxic metals [32,33]. The level of trace elements in hair is on detectable level because hair is highly mineralized tissue and can be sampled in relatively high mass [6].

The diagnostic usefulness of hair analysis is confirmed by many authors [1,19,30,34–36], who have proven the correlation between the concentration of basic elements in hair and their concentrations in the body, both in the physiological and pathologic states [37,38]. In addition, hair copper, chromium, iron, and zinc contents provide valuable information for prevention, diagnostics, and treatment of diabetes [30,39] which is usable to equine metabolic syndrome [15]. The studies of other researchers showed that copper in hair correlates significantly with copper in the liver, heart, and kidneys [40]. Similarly, zinc in hair correlates significantly with zinc in bones [40].

Based on the results of the present study, the hair mineral contents of healthy horses fed the balanced dietary treatment (Diet<sub>2.2–100</sub>, Table 3) were in the ranges of Trace Elements Inc reference data [34]. Despite lesser amounts for aluminum, selenium, sodium, potassium, and manganese and greater amounts for lead, calcium, and nickel, the findings of the present study for phosphorus, magnesium, iron, copper, and zinc were similar to the report by Asano et al [23] in Thoroughbred horses.

The results of this study confirmed the suggestion of Nasli et al [41] on scalp hair sample of human as a suitable biological sample for trace element analysis, especially in case of selenium and manganese, because of the high accumulation of these elements in hair.

Our results for gender effect on hair calcium, sulfur, selenium, strontium, and zinc contents are consistent with the results of Asano et al [23] in Thoroughbred horses, with the greater values for male horses. About serum potassium, sulfur, and phosphorus (Table 4) concentrations, the findings of the present study are supported by Kubasova and Kubasov [42] speculation of regulatory mechanisms that keep the horse in a steady state.

In the case of some elements, seasonal changes of diet influence hair mineral contents [7]. The ability of the animal to absorb a mineral may increase during deficiency of it, and it may decrease during its excessive intake. Deficiency or excess of other nutrients may also affect bioavailability of a mineral [43]. In addition, the regulatory mechanisms can keep the plasma level steady, although large amounts of the mineral are absorbed [43].

# 5. Conclusions

The results of this study have provided baseline data on hair and serum mineral elements that together with information available previously on this topic could be useful for veterinarians and equine nutritionist. Meanwhile, the results of this study clearly answered the main question of the hair being a better biological indicator for mineral status in horses compared with the serum. Finally, future research on the mechanisms and processes involved in mineral accumulation in hair is suggested.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Agriculture and Natural Resources Research Center of Guilan, Rasht, Iran, for the Caspian horse supplies and space research.

#### References

 Kempson IM, Lombi E. Hair analysis as a biomonitor for toxicology, disease and health status. Chem Soc Rev 2011;40:3915–40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ab</sup>Means within a subcolumn with different superscript letters are significantly different ( $P \le .05$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Numbers in subscripts for dietary treatments are the supplementation levels of dietary mineral (%) and the level of daily dry matter intakes (% of requirement), respectively. For example, (0–100) indicates the dietary mineral supplementation at 0% and the dry matter intake at 100% of requirements, and so forth.

- [2] Galik B, Biro D, Halo M, Juracek M, Simko M, Massanyi P, et al. The effect of different macromineral intakes on mineral metabolism of sport horses. Acta Vet Brno 2012;81:113–7. Czech Republic.
- [3] Dunnett M. The diagnostic potential of equine hair: a comparative review of hair analysis for assessing nutritional status, environmental poisoning, and drug use and abuse. Adv Equine Nutr Ky Equine Res. Ky 2005:85–106.
- [4] Asano K. Twenty-eight element concentrations in mane hair samples of adult riding horses determined by particle-induced x-ray emission. Biol Trace Elem Res 2005;107:135–40.
- [5] Błazewicz A, Klatka M, Astel A, Partyka M, Kocjan R. Differences in trace metal concentrations (Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cd, and Ni) in whole blood, plasma, and urine of obese and nonobese children. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013;155:190–200.
- [6] Daniel CR, Piraccini BM, Tosti A. The nail and hair in forensic science. J Am Acad Dermatol 2004;50:258–61.
- [7] Chojnacka K, Zielinska A, Gorecka H, Dobrzanski Z, Gorecki H. Reference values for hair minerals of Polish students. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 2010;29:314–9.
- [8] Gabryszuk M, Sloniewski K, Metera E, Sakowski T. Content of mineral elements in milk and hair of cows from organic farms. J Elementol 2010;15:259–67.
- [9] Lloyd GR, Ahmad S, Wasim M, Brereton RG. Pattern recognition of inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy of human scalp hair for discriminating between healthy and hepatitis C patients. Anal Chim Acta 2009;649:33–42.
- [10] Batzevich VA. Hair trace element analysis in human ecology studies. Sci Total Environ 1995;164:89–98.
- [11] Ashraf W, Jaffar M, Anwer K, Ehsan U. Age- and sex-based comparative distribution of selected metals in the scalp hair of an urban population from two cities in Pakistan. Environ Pollut 1995; 87:61-4.
- [12] Namkoong S, Hong SP, Kim MH, Park BC. Reliability on intralaboratory and inter-laboratory data of hair mineral analysis comparing with blood analysis. Ann Dermatol 2013;25:67–72.
- [13] Dunnett M, Lees P. Trace element, toxin and drug elimination in hair with particular reference to the horse. Res Vet Sci 2003;75:89–101.
- [14] Secombe CJ, Lester GD. The role of diet in the prevention and management of several equine diseases. Anim Feed Sci Technol 2012:173:86–101.
- [15] McGowan CM, Dugdale AH, Pinchbeck GL, Argo CM. Dietary restriction in combination with a nutraceutical supplement for the management of equine metabolic syndrome in horses. Vet J 2013; 196:153-9.
- [16] Chikawa J-i, Mouri Y, Shima H, Yamada K, Yamamoto H, Yamamoto S. Concentration homeostasis and elements in hair and dried serum observed by x-ray fluorescence analysis using synchrotron radiation. J Xray Sci Technol 2014;22:471–91.
- [17] Chojnacka K, Gorecka H, Gorecki H. The effect of age, sex, smoking habit and hair color on the composition of hair. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 2006;22:52–7.
- [18] Ward NI, Savage JM. Elemental status of grazing animals located adjacent to the London orbital (m25) motorway. Sci Total Environ 1994:146–147:185–9.
- [19] Vanaelst B, Huybrechts I, Michels N, Flrez M, Aramenda M, Balcaen L, et al. Hair minerals and metabolic health in Belgian elementary school girls. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013;151:335–43.
- [20] Scherer-Hoock AL, Greene EA, Lennox M, Brown-Douglas C. A comparison of actual and suggested digestible energy intakes of miniature horses derived from different feeding recommendations. J Equine Vet Sci 2011;31:180-4.
- [21] NRC. Nutrient requirements of horses. 500 Fifth Street, N.W., Lockbox 285. 6th Revised ed. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences; 2007.
- [22] American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). Annual book of American society for testing and materials standards. Water and

- environmental technology. Standard guide for preparation of biological samples for inorganic chemical analysis. ASTM D4638-03. 2004:11:6
- [23] Asano R, Suzuki K, Otsuka T, Otsuka M. Concentration of toxic metals and essential minerals in the mane hair of healthy racing horses and their relation to age. J Vet Med Sci 2002;64: 607-10.
- [24] Karbasi MH, Jahanparast B, Shamsipur M, Hassan J. Simultaneous trace multielement determination by ICP-OES after solid phase extraction with modified octadecyl silica gel. J Hazard Mater 2009; 170:151-5.
- [25] Messer N, Riddle T, Traub-Dargatz JL, Dargatz DA, Refsal KJ, Thompson D. Thyroid hormone levels in Thoroughbred mares and their foals at parturition. Proceedings of the Annual Convention of the AAEP. 1998. p. 248–251.
- [26] Nazeri S, Rostamian M, Hedayati M. Impact of thyroid dysfunction on antioxidant capacity, superoxide dismutase and catalase activity. Zahedan J Res Med Sci 2014;16:51–4.
- [27] Abdel-Fattah S, El-Sanhoury M, El-Mednay N, Abdel-Azeem F. Thyroid activity, some blood constituents, organs morphology and performance of broiler chicks fed supplemental organic acids. Int J Poult Sci 2008;7:215–22.
- [28] Patterson H, Lucas H. Change-over designs. Technical Bulletin No. 147: North Carolina agricultural experimental station; 1962.
- [29] SAS. SAS/STAT 9.2. User's guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2008.
- [30] Wołowiec P, Michalak I, Chojnacka K, Mikulewicz M. Hair analysis in health assessment. Clin Chim Acta 2013;419:139–71.
- [31] Chojnacka K, Mikulewicz M, Michalak I. The effect of hair characteristics and treatments on mineral composition of scalp hair. Polish | Environ Stud 2012;21:1167–73.
- [32] Hintz H. Novel investigations into hair analysis. Equinews 2012;6: 14–6.
- [33] Varrica D, Tamburo E, Dongarrà G, Sposito F. Trace elements in scalp hair of children chronically exposed to volcanic activity (mt. Etna, Italy). Sci Total Environ 2014;470–471:117–26.
- [34] TEI. Sample hair mineral analysis results. Addison, Texas, USA: Trace Elements, Incorporated; 2013.
- [35] Ertek S, Cicero A, Caglar O, Erdogan G. Relationship between serum zinc levels, thyroid hormones and thyroid volume following successful iodine supplementation. Horm 2010;9:263–8.
- [36] Lykkesfeldt J, Svendsen O. Oxidants and antioxidants in disease: oxidative stress in farm animals. Vet J 2007;173:502–11.
- [37] Lakshmi Priya M, Geetha A. Level of trace elements (copper, zinc, magnesium and selenium) and toxic elements (lead and mercury) in the hair and nail of children with autism. Biol Trace Elem Res 2011; 142:148–58.
- [38] Hamilton T, Schweinsberg F. The reliability of conclusions based on hair mineral analysis in individual diagnostic. Versicherungsmedizin 2004;56:136–40. German.
- [39] Chen H, Tan C, Lin Z, Wu T. The diagnostics of diabetes mellitus based on ensemble modeling and hair/urine element level analysis. Comput Biol Med 2014;50:70–5.
- [40] Suliburska J. A comparison of levels of select minerals in scalp hair samples with estimated dietary intakes of these minerals in women of reproductive age. Biol Trace Elem Res 2011;144: 77–85.
- [41] Nasli E, Faridbod F, Larijani B, Ganjali MR, Norouzi P. Trace element analysis of hair, nail, serum and urine of diabetes mellitus patients by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy. Iranian J Diabetes Lipid Disord 2011;10:1–9.
- [42] Kubasova ED, Kubasov RV. Effect of trace elements on thyroid structural and functional state (a review). Gig Sanit 2008;5:79–81. Russian.
- [43] Kienzle E, Zorn N. Bioavailability of minerals in the horse. European equine nutrition & health congress. Merelbeke, Belgium: Ghent University; 2006. p. 27–36. congress paper.